MODERATING EFFECT OF NATIONAL ORIGIN ON RELATIONSHIP OF ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE AND JOB SATISFACTION

UDC: 005.32:331.101.32 005.73:331.101.32 Original Scientific Paper

Jelena VUKONJANSKI¹, Milan NIKOLIĆ²

¹University of Novi Sad, Technical faculty "Mihajlo Pupin" Zrenjanin, 23000 Zrenjanin, Đure Đakovića bb, Republic of Serbia. E-mail: <u>jelena.vukonjanski@gmail.com</u>
²University of Novi Sad, Technical faculty "Mihajlo Pupin" Zrenjanin, 23000 Zrenjanin, Đure Đakovića bb, Republic of Serbia

Paper received: 20.03.2014.; Paper accepted: 27.05.2014.

This paper represents results research of moderating effect of national origin of companies on relationship of organizational culture (according to Globe project), and dimensions of job satisfaction. The research included 256 middle managers who work in domestic (183) and 73 managers who work in foreign companies in Serbia. Relations between some organizational culture dimensions and facets of job satisfaction are moderated by the national origin of companies. Managers are given directions in starting appropriate activities oriented towards changes in organizational culture in their companies through explanation of relationship of OC and JS in order to improve organizational results.

Keywords: organizational culture, job satisfaction, national origin of companies.

INTRODUCTION

The last two decades are the time of political and economic changes in many Eastern European countries including Serbia. After wars, economic sanctions, one of the highest hyperinflations in the world (Hanke and Krus, 2012:12), NATO aggression, economics, politics and social changes, privatization, Serbia has a transitional economy mostly dominated by services, manufacturing and agriculture. The economy is heavily reliant on exports and foreign investment. According to Blyton (2001) common political projects such as achieving trade liberalization and privatization of state-owned enterprises have provided a key element in the platform of economic globalization. In addition, common political programs, power and mobility of transnational capital and their impact the national economic systems comprehensive evidence that social systems fundamentally converge in terms of the functioning of the regulatory system and the functioning of organizations. The author states that despite of the above mentioned processes of convergence national differences are moderating factors which influence the way societies and organizations work.

Organizational culture is determined by organization's dominating values (Deal and Kennedy, 1982; Lauzen and Dozier, 1994) accepted by majority of employees (Wallack, 1983), as well as common norms and beliefs of organization's members (Kroeber et al., 1952; Schein, 1985, 1990; Kotter, 1992, 1996; Conner, 1992; Cummings and Worley, 2005). It is in a certain sense a philosophy that determines organizational policy towards internal and external surroundings (Pascale and Athos, 1981).

Smith (1992) investigates the degree to which organizational behaviour is found to vary between national cultures. He concludes that there is an increasing need to prepare managers for multicultural experience. National culture can be an important variable that influences the development of cognitive style and decision making process of managers (Dimitratos et al., 2011). According to Brooks (2006:271-295), national culture can affect the relationship between

managers of different nationalities and cultures when they need to work together. Pasa, Kabasakal and Bodur (2001) state that some other differences between developed countries and developing countries are also an important factor for the development of some specific ways management. According to the authors, the developing countries are located in East and West Africa, Central and Latin America, the Middle East and in some parts of Eastern Europe and they have many characteristics that vary in intensity, such as underdeveloped infrastructure, abundance of unskilled labor, low technological development, political instability, rigid social structure, gender differences, and strong influence of tradition. Berry and Ward (2006) suggested that the encounter of individuals from different cultures often results in stress that can grow to a conflict. Ward, Bochner and Furnham (2001), in their book which is dedicated to the importance of culture shock, suggested that the understanding of how management practices and national culture are connected was at the very beginning, although there were studies which deal with that relationship. But the authors considered these results as unreliable and limited because they rely mostly on Hofstede (1980, 2001:176) studies which they considered as obsolete.

Feichtinger and Fink (1998) found that the process of cultural change and its characteristics in the transition countries that have communist legacy produce a "culture shock" as a result of confrontations to foreign cultures, and that a collective culture shock affects the management and business relationships and creating problems. In transition countries, culture shock is the product of complex economic, social and political forces and Fink and Holden (2002) consider this phenomenon as an important conceptual tool for managers who are responsible for international strategy in transition countries. According to Brooks (2006:285), culture shift is also important and represents degree to which culture can change and speed up changes. Numerous factors can affect culture shift, such as economic conditions, increase of foreign investments, sudden social, politics and religious changes.

Dickson (2003) believes that Javidan and House (2001) presented convincing arguments for the work on the GLOBE project since global managers have to be sensitive to cultural differences among employees. In addition, the GLOBE project provides relevant information for the creation of cross cultural communication, and the lessons of

the project can serve as a starting point for the development of interpersonal and cultural needs of global managers. For researchers to understand culture they need to assess the broader cultural paradigm of a society within which the organization operates since this influences the manner in which the organization operates (Javidan and House, 2001; Gerhart, 2008). Denison (1996) notes that a fundamental dilemma which is often faced in the literature on organizational culture is that theories tend to posit that individuals influence an organizational culture, and that they are also influenced by the organizational culture. While micro-level theories might equate organizational culture with an employee's perceptions of an organization, in order for those perceptions to be truly "organizational," they must be based on a common environmental stimulus present in the organization. Organizational cultures partly develop in response to stimuli that are experienced in common by organizational members.

ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE AND JOB SATISFACTION

Organizational culture

Organizational culture is determined organization's dominating values (Deal and Kennedy, 1982; Lauzen and Dozier, 1994) accepted by majority of employees (Wallack, 1983), as well as common norms and beliefs of organization's members (Kroeber et al., 1952; Schein, 1985, 1990; Kotter, 1992; Kotter & Heskett, 1996; Conner, 1992; Cummings and Worley, 2005). It is in a certain sense a philosophy that determines organizational policy towards internal and external surroundings (Pascale and Athos, 1981).

Organizational culture exists on cognitive and emotional level and represents set of basic assumptions, values, attitudes and norms of behavior shared within an organization and manifested through their members' perceptions, thoughts, feelings and behavior, as well as artifacts of both a material and nonmaterial nature (Deal and Kennedy, 1982; Lauzen and Dozier, 1994; Wallack, 1983; Schein, 1985; Kotter and Heskett 1992; Conner, 1992; House et al. 1997).

According to Hofstede (1991), culture is software of the mind, which distinguishes the members of one group or category of people from another. Culture is a collective oriented phenomenon and

refers to shared meanings and cultural norms and cultural variables which have a strong influence on the social and organizational activities as members of the collective posses shared values and social identity (House, Wright and Aditya, 1997). Due to globalization and increased dependence among nations the growing interest in understanding national cultures (House, Javidan and Dorfman, 2001) enhance cross -cultural management investigations.

Organizational culture influences all aspects of business and life in a company. It is linked to numerous organizational results (House at al., 2004), and one of them is job satisfaction. Connecting individual aims of employees to aims of the organization and reliance on responsibility of employees are the factors of organizational culture successfulness (Morgan, 1977). Organizational culture is also one of significant factors of job satisfaction (Moynihan and Pandey, 2007).

Job satisfaction

Although job satisfaction is more an attitude than behaviour many managers expect results because satisfied workers will come to work more regularly and stay in the company longer. (Robins and Coulter, 2005). It can be concluded that job satisfaction in certain extent represents an indicator of employees' perception about organizational culture of their company (Sempane, Rieger and Roodt, 2002). Spector (1997) says that job satisfaction is no doubt most researched variable in Industrial and Organizational Psychology. Job satisfaction is related to general attitude of individuals to their job. Affective dimension of job satisfaction is defined as satisfying or positive emotional state which results from estimation of a job or work experience (Locke, 1976:1302-1304). Emloyees' job satisfaction influences their mental health, longevity, emotional life as a whole (Locke, 1976: 1311; Sempane, Rieger and Roodt, 2002). Job dissatisfaction can significantly influence behaviour of employees which results in absence from work, complaints and termination of employment.

A great number of researchers examine the relationship of job satisfaction and organizational culture (Judge et al., 2001; Sempane, Rieger and Roodt, 2002; Moynihan and Pandey, 2007; Spector, 1997; Meyer et al., 2002; Lund, 2003; Silverthorne, 2004; Fargher et al., 2008; Amos and Weathington, 2008; Bellou, 2010). When it comes

to companies in Serbia, reasearch (Vukonjanski et al., 2012) has shown, that organizational culture has significant effect on job satisfaction of employees.

On the grounds of the mentioned above the following hypothesis is derived:

H: National origin of companies has moderating effect on relationship of dimensions of organizational culture and job satisfaction in Serbian companies.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Respondents and data collecting

The research lasted for 5 months and it was carried out from 1st January to 1st June, 2011. During this period collecting of questionnaires was carried out through interviewing respondents. Responses were got from 256 middle managers from 131 companies and the sample was chosen to provide both, domestic and foreign companies (183 employees in domestic and 73 in foreign companies). The research was carried out in companies in Serbia, no matter the branch of industry, but in companies with more than 50 employees. Namely, in the companies with a small number of employees there are only a few managers on the same level and the owner is not a supervisor to managers in a classical sense, in other words, owners do not have previous experience in management and are not fully involved in management process. All respondents in the sample have Bachelor or Master degree and according to sex, 136 are male and 120 female. Out of the total number of respondents, 134 are employed in public and 122 in foreign companies in Serbia.

Research instruments

In the research was used the instrument of Globe project, precisely the first part of questionnaire which includes the questions related to organizational culture, the state "as it is" and it is consisted of 34 questions. Respondents marked the values on the scale from 1 to 7 and the filled-in questionnaires were processed according to Globe Syntax. The instrument measures 9 organizational and national dimensions and the dimensions are: avoiding uncertainty, orientation to the future, power distance, institutional collectivism, orientation to people, orientation to performances,

collectivism within the group, gender equality, assertiveness (House et al. 1999, 2002, 2004).

Questionnaire for measuring job satisfaction was applied for measuring job satisfaction. The questionnaire JS is consisted of 36 items which are valued from 1 to 6 and 9 scales which estimate attitudes of employees about the job and its aspects: salary, promotion, supervision, benefits, rewards, operation procedures, co-workers, the nature of job, communication (Spector, 1985). Significance of each of these scales is different in some extent and the result is in different importance in cases of evaluation of total job satisfaction (Spector, 1997).

Data analysis

Data has been processed in accordance to applied instruments for measuring dimensions of organizational culture and job satisfaction. Internal consistency of scales was confirmed. Descriptive statistics and correlation analysis of the relationship of organizational culture and job satisfaction were used and then the relationship of

organizational culture and job satisfaction was observed with moderator "ownership structure of the company". In order to determine the connection between dimensions of Globe organizational culture, job satisfaction and ownership structure in Serbian companies we used hierarchical and regression analysis (Milin and Hadžić 2011) and Chow test (Chow, 1960) with the aim to examine moderating influence of ownership structure on regression between JS (as dependent variable) and OC (as independent variable), whereas i, j=1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9.

RESEARCH RESULTS

In the Table 1. The results of Descriptive analysis are presented (mean values and standard deviations) for dimensions of the state of organizational culture "as it is" and job satisfaction dimension. A short name of dimensions which will be used in future discussion is also given in the Table. The values skewness and kurtosis presented in the Table point at normal distribution of score for all scales.

Table 1: Descriptive statistics for the relation between dimensions of organizational culture and job satisfaction in the companies in Serbia

			ne compan		ewness	Kurt	osis
	Short name	Mean	SD	Stat.	Std. Error	Stat.	Std. Error
Uncertainty Avoidance	OC1	3.8006	1.34038	.107	.163	013	.324
Future Oriented	OC2	4.3080	1.63478	213	.163	814	.324
Power Distance	OC3	4.7946	1.42911	264	.163	660	.324
Collectivism 1	OC4	3.8199	1.35587	008	.163	510	.324
Humane Orientation	OC5	4.2232	1.41515	088	.163	490	.324
Performance Orientation	OC6	3.9408	1.36935	001	.163	632	.324
Collectivism 2	OC7	4.5634	1.18553	177	.163	264	.324
Gender Egalitarianism	OC8	2.9301	1.20891	.294	.163	103	.324
Assertiveness	OC9	3.7656	1.09026	074	.163	1.166	.324
Pay	JS1	2.9107	1.32451	.343	.163	809	.324
Promotion	JS2	3.3304	1.18838	009	.163	229	.324
Supervision	JS3	3.6641	1.29293	030	.163	705	.324
Fringe Benefits	JS4	2.9989	1.25291	.361	.163	545	.324
Contingent Rewards	JS5	3.1529	1.35047	.447	.163	522	.324
Operating Procedures	JS6	3.1830	1.04199	.233	.163	.620	.324
Coworkers	JS7	4.3571	1.13777	562	.163	.217	.324
Nature of Work	JS8	4.4900	1.23553	874	.163	.374	.324
Communication	JS9	3.9699	1.31775	185	.163	832	.324

Table 2: Relation between OCi and JSj in D and F sub-groups

National	JS	S1	JS	52	JS	13	JS	54	JS	S5	JS	56	JS	57	JS	88	J.	S 9
origin of companies	D	F	D	F	D	F	D	F	D	F	D	F	D	S	D	S	D	S
OC1	.408**	106	.398**	157	.271**	.213	.295**	087	.324**	168	027	.393*	.259**	164	.201**	141	.429**	.058
OC2	.481**	.617**	.449**	.417**	.385**	.529**	.431**	.319*	.471**	.458**	178*	338*	.390**	.533**	.287**	.408**	.539**	.446**
OC3	376**	546**	400**	257	348**	327*	299**	276	381**	443**	.216**	.009	269**	219	218**	222	455**	334*
OC4	.359**	.381*	.316**	.457**	.172*	.379*	.184*	.511**	.368**	.497**	088	.107	.253**	.337*	.171*	.131	.316**	.298
OC5	.457**	.614**	.406**	.593**	.349**	.491**	.301**	.530**	.452**	.555**	190**	.047	.494**	.523**	.359**	.363*	.517**	.374*
OC6	.609**	.695**	.575**	.536**	.468**	.600**	.401**	.679**	.610**	.690**	184*	103	.441**	.481**	.368**	.381*	.610**	.597**
OC7	.530**	.769**	.477**	.499**	.448**	.596**	.425**	.705**	.489**	.739**	219**	098	.468**	.528**	.436**	.447**	.555**	.706**
OC8	.077	137	.045	.125	.169*	163	.130	.104	.152*	.029	124	147	.011	.035	.055	022	.197**	072
OC9	073	.167	.017	.155	024	.058	137	.220	129	.077	014	160	109	.042	075	.184	160*	.202

^{**}p<0.01; *p<0.05

Table 3: Chow test results for sub-groups D and F

National origin of companies JS1	JS9 334.040 264.635 59.784 3.737 281.244 230.060 48.059 1.416 314.795 257.210 53.279
OC1 RS 349.187 290.545 347.576 331.766 385.462 241.785 280.199 334.531 RSD 260.846 215.587 288.604 273.060 290.815 206.281 219.092 265.041 RSF 69.653 57.287 58.287 50.373 78.741 29.913 52.404 62.916 F 7.125 8.160 0.249 3.246 5.423 2.983 4.039 2.526 RS 289.195 254.064 309.406 291.286 316.944 231.658 238.349 307.593 RSD 240.643 204.473 265.180 243.566 252.740 199.865 199.022 253.488 RSF 43.628 48.496 43.975 45.604 64.039 31.324 38.572 53.526 F 2.183 0.545 0.102 0.922 0.066 0.256 0.400 0.238 RSD 268.823 215.130 273.724 272.251 277.600	264.635 59.784 3.737 281.244 230.060 48.059 1.416 314.795 257.210 53.279
OC1 RSD 260.846 215.587 288.604 273.060 290.815 206.281 219.092 265.041 RSF 69.653 57.287 58.287 50.373 78.741 29.913 52.404 62.916 F 7.125 8.160 0.249 3.246 5.423 2.983 4.039 2.526 RS 289.195 254.064 309.406 291.286 316.944 231.658 238.349 307.593 RSD 240.643 204.473 265.180 243.566 252.740 199.865 199.022 253.488 RSF 43.628 48.496 43.975 45.604 64.039 31.324 38.572 53.526 F 2.183 0.545 0.102 0.922 0.066 0.256 0.400 0.238 RS 325.609 271.861 328.747 319.679 343.271 234.347 269.386 324.096 RSF 49.410 54.848 54.543 46.886 65.136	264.635 59.784 3.737 281.244 230.060 48.059 1.416 314.795 257.210 53.279
OC1 RSF 69.653 57.287 58.287 50.373 78.741 29.913 52.404 62.916 F 7.125 8.160 0.249 3.246 5.423 2.983 4.039 2.526 RS 289.195 254.064 309.406 291.286 316.944 231.658 238.349 307.593 RSD 240.643 204.473 265.180 243.566 252.740 199.865 199.022 253.488 RSF 43.628 48.496 43.975 45.604 64.039 31.324 38.572 53.526 F 2.183 0.545 0.102 0.922 0.066 0.256 0.400 0.238 RS 325.609 271.861 328.747 319.679 343.271 234.347 269.386 324.096 RSD 268.823 215.130 273.724 272.251 277.600 196.804 217.831 263.056 RSF 49.410 54.848 54.543 46.886 65.136	59.784 3.737 281.244 230.060 48.059 1.416 314.795 257.210 53.279
RSF 69.653 57.287 58.287 50.373 78.741 29.913 52.404 62.916 F 7.125 8.160 0.249 3.246 5.423 2.983 4.039 2.526 RS 289.195 254.064 309.406 291.286 316.944 231.658 238.349 307.593 RSD 240.643 204.473 265.180 243.566 252.740 199.865 199.022 253.488 RSF 43.628 48.496 43.975 45.604 64.039 31.324 38.572 53.526 F 2.183 0.545 0.102 0.922 0.066 0.256 0.400 0.238 RS 325.609 271.861 328.747 319.679 343.271 234.347 269.386 324.096 RSD 268.823 215.130 273.724 272.251 277.600 196.804 217.831 263.056 RSF 49.410 54.848 54.543 46.886 65.136 35.369	3.737 281.244 230.060 48.059 1.416 314.795 257.210 53.279
OC2 RS 289.195 254.064 309.406 291.286 316.944 231.658 238.349 307.593 RSD 240.643 204.473 265.180 243.566 252.740 199.865 199.022 253.488 RSF 43.628 48.496 43.975 45.604 64.039 31.324 38.572 53.526 F 2.183 0.545 0.102 0.922 0.066 0.256 0.400 0.238 RS 325.609 271.861 328.747 319.679 343.271 234.347 269.386 324.096 RSD 268.823 215.130 273.724 272.251 277.600 196.804 217.831 263.056 RSF 49.410 54.848 54.543 46.886 65.136 35.369 51.270 61.017 F 2.920 0.879 0.184 0.214 0.197 1.180 0.133 0.009 RSD 272.689 230.483 302.241 288.902 280.949	281.244 230.060 48.059 1.416 314.795 257.210 53.279
OC2 RSD 240.643 204.473 265.180 243.566 252.740 199.865 199.022 253.488 RSF 43.628 48.496 43.975 45.604 64.039 31.324 38.572 53.526 F 2.183 0.545 0.102 0.922 0.066 0.256 0.400 0.238 RS 325.609 271.861 328.747 319.679 343.271 234.347 269.386 324.096 RSD 268.823 215.130 273.724 272.251 277.600 196.804 217.831 263.056 RSF 49.410 54.848 54.543 46.886 65.136 35.369 51.270 61.017 F 2.920 0.879 0.184 0.214 0.197 1.180 0.133 0.009 RS 339.291 277.980 356.286 329.660 343.210 241.399 267.738 331.409 OC4 RSD 272.689 230.483 302.241 288.902	230.060 48.059 1.416 314.795 257.210 53.279
RSF 43.628 48.496 43.975 45.604 64.039 31.324 38.572 53.526 F 2.183 0.545 0.102 0.922 0.066 0.256 0.400 0.238 RS 325.609 271.861 328.747 319.679 343.271 234.347 269.386 324.096 RSD 268.823 215.130 273.724 272.251 277.600 196.804 217.831 263.056 RSF 49.410 54.848 54.543 46.886 65.136 35.369 51.270 61.017 F 2.920 0.879 0.184 0.214 0.197 1.180 0.133 0.009 RS 339.291 277.980 356.286 329.660 343.210 241.399 267.738 331.409 OC4 RSD 272.689 230.483 302.241 288.902 280.949 204.845 219.751 268.191	48.059 1.416 314.795 257.210 53.279
RSF 43.628 48.496 43.975 45.604 64.039 31.324 38.572 53.526 F 2.183 0.545 0.102 0.922 0.066 0.256 0.400 0.238 RS 325.609 271.861 328.747 319.679 343.271 234.347 269.386 324.096 RSD 268.823 215.130 273.724 272.251 277.600 196.804 217.831 263.056 RSF 49.410 54.848 54.543 46.886 65.136 35.369 51.270 61.017 F 2.920 0.879 0.184 0.214 0.197 1.180 0.133 0.009 RS 339.291 277.980 356.286 329.660 343.210 241.399 267.738 331.409 OC4 RSD 272.689 230.483 302.241 288.902 280.949 204.845 219.751 268.191	1.416 314.795 257.210 53.279
OC3 RS 325.609 271.861 328.747 319.679 343.271 234.347 269.386 324.096 RSD 268.823 215.130 273.724 272.251 277.600 196.804 217.831 263.056 RSF 49.410 54.848 54.543 46.886 65.136 35.369 51.270 61.017 F 2.920 0.879 0.184 0.214 0.197 1.180 0.133 0.009 RS 339.291 277.980 356.286 329.660 343.210 241.399 267.738 331.409 RSD 272.689 230.483 302.241 288.902 280.949 204.845 219.751 268.191	314.795 257.210 53.279
OC3 RSD 268.823 215.130 273.724 272.251 277.600 196.804 217.831 263.056 RSF 49.410 54.848 54.543 46.886 65.136 35.369 51.270 61.017 F 2.920 0.879 0.184 0.214 0.197 1.180 0.133 0.009 RS 339.291 277.980 356.286 329.660 343.210 241.399 267.738 331.409 RSD 272.689 230.483 302.241 288.902 280.949 204.845 219.751 268.191	257.210 53.279
RSF 49.410 54.848 54.543 46.886 65.136 35.369 51.270 61.017 F 2.920 0.879 0.184 0.214 0.197 1.180 0.133 0.009 RS 339.291 277.980 356.286 329.660 343.210 241.399 267.738 331.409 RSD 272.689 230.483 302.241 288.902 280.949 204.845 219.751 268.191	53.279
RSF 49.410 54.848 54.543 46.886 65.136 35.369 51.270 61.017 F 2.920 0.879 0.184 0.214 0.197 1.180 0.133 0.009 RS 339.291 277.980 356.286 329.660 343.210 241.399 267.738 331.409 RSD 272.689 230.483 302.241 288.902 280.949 204.845 219.751 268.191	
RS 339.291 277.980 356.286 329.660 343.210 241.399 267.738 331.409 RSD 272.689 230.483 302.241 288.902 280.949 204.845 219.751 268.191	1 747
RSD 272.689 230.483 302.241 288.902 280.949 204.845 219.751 268.191	1.747
	349.100
UC4 DSE C0.225 46.467 52.200 27.405 60.004 24.066 47.751 62.000	292.032
RSF 60.225 46.467 52.299 37.495 60.994 34.966 47.751 63.080	54.656
F 2.414 0.469 0.621 1.260 0.467 0.834 0.111 0.052	0.877
RS 304.345 253.795 321.569 310.717 317.821 236.711 216.818 296.447	295.973
RSD 247.755 213.792 273.476 271.976 258.453 198.975 177.419 240.558	237.799
OC5 RSF 43.911 38.066 46.358 36.513 56.077 35.295 39.143 55.710	51.580
F 5.477 0.969 0.684 0.910 1.318 1.313 0.149 0.076	2.871
RS 238.134 214.302 282.675 279.941 246.865 234.998 230.866 293.798	243.934
OC6 RSD 196.956 171.411 243.334 251.063 203.913 199.415 189.226 238.815	203.582
RSF 36.389 41.854 39.077 27.359 42.481 34.998 41.403 54.876	38.629
F 2.586 0.613 0.118 0.687 0.241 0.314 0.129 0.046	0.896
RS 270.553 242.219 290.700 274.255 292.845 232.661 222.859 275.428	261.387
OC7 RSD 252.111 197.903 248.923 245.033 247.225 196.499 183.401 223.676	224.660
RSF 28.802 44.132 39.353 25.549 36.816 35.032 38.852 51.344	30.062
F -4.647 0.096 1.059 1.710 3.905 0.615 0.344 0.187	3.297
RS 389.517 313.997 368.297 344.415 399.465 237.890 288.621 339.902	377.079
OC8 RSD 311.144 255.518 302.484 294.052 317.325 203.276 234.787 275.381	311.872
RSF 69.115 57.806 59.444 50.201 80.955 34.605 53.794 64.155	59.680
F 3.068 0.271 2.217 0.059 0.375 0.005 0.017 0.136	1.874
RS 391.138 314.399 372.766 348.132 403.866 241.693 286.800 340.201	384.259
OC9 RSD 311.299 255.970 311.217 293.444 319.471 206.390 232.009 274.687	316.131
RSF 68.482 57.319 60.853 48.299 80.541 34.471 53.767 62.015	
F 3.768 0.446 0.236 2.356 1.214 0.435 0.451 1.309	57.531

Table 4: Hierarchical regression analysis for ownership structure of company (R square i F changes)

Independent	Dependent	R square	F-change		
	JS1	.155	10.962		
	JS2	.134	12.567		
	JS4	.076	5.557		
OC1	JS5	.091	9.467		
oci	JS6	.024	4.756		
	JS7	.060	6.676		
	JS8	.037	4.255		
	JS9	.162	6.501		
OC7	JS1	.351	3.827		
UC /	JS5	.302	4.876		
OC8	JS3	.029	3.869		
UCS	JS9	.040	2.738		
	JS4	.024	3.865		
OC9	JS8	.011	2.283		
	JS9	.035	4.208		

Table 2. presents Pirson's coefficients of correlation OCi and JSj for D (domestic) and F (foreign) companies in Serbia, for every i, j=1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9. In domestic companies in Serbia correlation between organizational culture and job

satisfaction is more significant. OC1 and JSj are significantly different in domestic and foreign companies. Correlation is statistically significant in domestic companies, and in relation of OC1 i JS6 in foreign companies.

OC3 has more significant relation in domestic and foreign companies in correlation with JS2, JS3, JS4, JS6, JS7, JS8, JS9. OC8 has more significant relation in domestic companies in correlation with JS3, JS5 and JS9. Chow test results for differences of regression coefficients for regression between OCi and JSj for sub-groups domestic and foreign companies are presented in Table 3.

The results of hierarchical regression analysis are presented in Table 4., and the results of R square and F changes which are statistically significant and support H(OCi, JSj) regressions for sub-groups D (domestic) and F (foreign) companies.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Increase of OC1 is followed by faster increase of negative effect JS1, JS2, JS4, JS5, JS7 and JS8 in sub-group F, but coefficients are not significant and JS6 is not significantly different from zero in sub-group D. According to the results of Chow test, national origin of companies is a moderator of a relation OC1 and JS1 (F=7.125), JS2 (F=8.160), JS4 (F=3.246), JS5 (F=5.423), JS6 (F=2.983), JS7 (F=4.039), JS8 (F=2.526) and JS9 (F=3.737). Hierarchical regression analysis has confirmed moderating effect for all JSj, except JS3. Reliance on rules and regulations, risk avoidance, work without initiative and work according to superiors to middle managers in sub-group D increase satisfaction with pay, promotion, benefits, rewards, coworkers, nature of work, communication. It also, leads to increase of negative effect operative procedures. Results of analysis are expected, and they confirm that Serbia tend to high degree of uncertainty avoidance. With increasing uncertainty avoidance, all aspects of job satisfaction are increasing, except JS6.

According to Chow test and hierarchical regression analysis, national origin of companies is not moderator of regresion between OC2 i JSj. Hierarchical regression analysis does not support the moderator effect of national origin of companies on relation OC3 and JSj. According to Chow test national origin of companies is moderator of relation OC3 and JS1 (F=2.920). The regression coefficient between the independent variable OC3 and JSj are significantly negative, for every j except for j=6. The increase of the perception of power distance is followed by a increase of negative effect on pay satisfaction. Middle managers from sub-group F has higher expectations from work conditions, precisely two-

way communication, feedback, promotion and availability of resources. Because of that, recognition of practice that is common for domestic companies in Serbia, is followed by a decrease of satisfaction with pay.

By the Chow test, national origin of companies moderates the relation between OC4 and JS1 (F=2.414). Hierarchical regression analysis does not support the moderator effect of the national origin on the regressions between OC4 and JSi, where j=1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9. Institutional collectivism has its roots in the long period of socialism, during which the principle egalitarianism was highly valued. Organizations with a high level of institutional collectivism practices encourage and reward the collective distribution of resources and collective action; predominantly as a member of a lifelong group or organization. Middle managers in Serbia consider that personal goals are of less importance than common organizational goals.

By the Chow test, national origin of companies moderates the relation between OC5 and JS1 and JS9 (F=2.871). Hierarchical (F=5.477)regression analysis does not support the moderator effect of relation OC5 and JSj. Since in the general sample the regression coefficients between the independent variable OC5 and the dependent variables JSj are significantly positive, except for j=6, which is significantly negative in sub-group D, the increase of perception of human orientation is followed by the increased satisfaction with all aspects of the job, except for satisfaction with operative procedure. In sub-group D increase of OC5 is followed by increase of pay satisfaction, and makes favourable conditions for active communication in sub-group F. Middle managers foreign companies in Serbia expecting organizational culture with formal relations and culture oriented to welbeing of company. In domestic companies increase of OC5 is followed by the increased informational flow, flexible communication and important information's about the position of the organization.

According to Chow test results, national origin is moderator of relation OC6 and JS1 (F=2.586). Hierarchical regression analysis does not support the moderator effect of national origin of companies on relation OC6 and JSj. In the general sample, all regression coefficients between OC6, JSj are positive and significantly different from zero, except JS6 which is significantly negative in sub-group D. In sub-group F increase of OC6 is

followed by pay satisfaction. Adequate training programs, feedback and work validation result increase of pay satisfaction. Middle managers in sub-group F acquire new knowledge that they find useful.

Chow test has shown national origin of companies in Serbia a moderator considering the relation of OC7 and JSi for i=1 (F= -4.647), 5 (F=3.905), 9 (F=3.297). Hierarchical regression analysis has confirmed moderating effect for OC7 and JS1, and JS5. In sub-group F increase of OC7 is followed by faster increases of JSj, j=1, 5, 9 than in the case of sub-group D. Middle managers in foreign companies in Serbia are more satisfied with pay, promotion and communication, than middle managers in domestic companies. Satisfaction increase is resulted by promotion based on results, good and harmonious relations with their superiors followed by information's for improvement of performances. According to O'Connell (2001), if employees mean that they are paid fair relatively to the others from the organization, they demonstrate higher level of commitment to the organization and they have pay satisfaction.

Chow test has shown ownership structure of a moderator considering the relation OC8 and JS1 (F=3.068), but coefficients of correlation are not significantly different from zero. Hierarchical regression analysis has confirmed moderating effect for OC8 and JS9. Before privatization process, in socialistic period, although there were egalitarian tendencies, the role of woman was more connected to household. Like in many other countries, organizational culture in Serbian companies of that time was not favourable for women and their progress and, according to some authors, it was "organizational culture oriented (Bajdo and Dickson, 2001; towards men" Connelly and Rhoton, 1988; Helgesen, 1990; Klenke, 1996; Maier, 1999; Loden, 1985; Marshall, 1993). In domestic companies in Serbia, woman's still face the effect of "glass ceiling", and also numerous prejudice. For that reason, in sub-group D, increase of OC8 is followed by satisfaction with superiors and communication. On the contrary, in sub-group F, organizational culture with high value of OC8 is expected.

Statistical analysis supported the moderator effect of national origin of companies on the regressions between OC9 and JS1 (F= 3.768), and JS4 (F=2.356) but coefficients of correlation are not significantly different from zero. Hierarchical regression analysis has confirmed moderating

effect for OC9 and JS4, JS8 i JS9. Perhaps the increase of OC9 in the D sub-sample employees produces an additional perception of the lack of harmony in relationships, which causes a decrease in the satisfaction with communication.

CONCLUSION

Liberalization of the economy and democratization of politics in the early 2000 in Serbia entailed in organizational changes the Nevertheless, several inherited features from the command economy organizational culture are still common for Serbian organizations (for example, a high power distance organizational culture). The research results have showed that national origin of companies represents moderating relation between some dimensions of GLOBE organizational culture and aspects of job satisfaction and that it is a significant factor which determines job satisfaction of middle managers in the companies in Serbia. Our results may help leaders in domestic and foreign companies in Serbia to introduce changes of organizational culture through maximizing or minimizing certain cultural dimensions in order to increase the level of facets of job satisfaction.

Foreign investors should be introduced with some characteristics of organizational culture dimensions in companies in Serbia. That can be very useful for construction of suitable organizational culture that correspond to values of national culture dimensions in companies in Serbia. Better knowledge of this issue is of great importance for foreign investors.

REFERENCES

Amos, E. A., & Weathington, B. L. (2008). An Analysis of the Relation between Employee-Organisation Value Congruence and Employee Attitudes. *The Journal of Psychology*, *142*(6), 615-631.

Bajdo, L. M., & Dickson, M. W. (2001). Perceptions of organizational culture and women's advancement in organizations: A cross-cultural examination. Sex Roles, 45(5/6), 399-414.

Bellou, V. (2010). Organizational culture as a predictor of job satisfaction: the role of gender and age. *Career Development International*, *15*(1), 4-19.

Berry, J. W., & Ward, C. (2006). Commentary on "redefining interactions across cultures and organizations". *Group and Organizational Management*, 31, 64-77.

Blyton, P. (2001). The General and the Particular in Cross-National Comparative Research. *Applied Psychology: An International Review*, *50*(4), 590-595.

- Brooks, I. (2006). *Organisational Behaviour: Individuals, Groups and Organisation* (3rd ed.).
 Prentice Hall: Financial Times.
- Chow, G. C. (1960). Tests of equality between sets of coefficients in two linear regression. *Econometrica*, 26(3), 591-605.
- Connelly, M., & Rhoton, P. (1988). Women in direct sales. In A. Statham, E. Miller, & H. Mauksch (Eds.), The worth of women's work: A qualitative analysis (pp. 245-264). Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Conner, D. (1992). *Managing at the speed of change*. New York: Villard Books.
- Cummings, T. G., & Worley, C. G. (2005).

 Organization development and change (8th ed.).

 Cincinnati, OH: South-Western Thomson.
- Deal, T. E., & Kennedy, A. A. (1982). *Corporate Cultures: The Rites and Rituals of Corporate Life*. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books.
- Denison, D. R. (1996). What is the difference between organizational culture and organizational climate? A native's point of view on a decade of paradigm wars. *Academy of Management Review*, 21, 619–654.
- Dickson, M. W., Den Hartog, D. N., & Mitchelson, J. K. (2003). Research on leadership in a cross-cultural context: Making progress, and raising new questions. *The Leadership Quarterly*, *14*, 729–768.
- Dimitratos, P., Petrou, A., Plakoyiannaki, E., & Johnson, J. E. (2011). Strategic decision-making processes in internationalization: Does national culture of the focal firm matter? *Journal of World Business*, 46(2), 194-204.
- Fargher, S., Kesting, S., Lange, T., & Pacheco, G. (2008). Cultural heritage and job satisfaction in Eastern and Western Europe. *International Journal of Manpower*, 29, 630-650.
- Feichtinger, C., & Fink, G. (1998). The collective culture shock in transition countries theoretical and empirical implications. *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, 19(6), 302 308
- Fink, G., & Holden, N. (2002). *Collective culture shock*. Contrastive reactions to radical systemic change. EI Working Papers / Europainstitut, 45. Forschungsinstitut für Europafragen, Vienna: University of Economics and Business.
- Gerhart, B. (2008). Cross Cultural Management Research: Assumptions, Evidence, and Suggested Directions. *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management*, 8(3), 259-274.
- Hanke, S. H., & Krus, N. E. (2012). WorldHyperinflations. In R. Parker, & R. Whaples (Eds.),The Handbook of Major Events in EconomicHistory. Routledge Publishing.
- Helgesen, S. (1990). *The female advantage: Women's ways of leadership*. New York: Doubleday Currency.
- Hofstede, G. (1980). *Culture's Consequences – International Differences in Work-Related Values*. (Abridged ed.). Newbury Park: Sage.

- Hofstede, G. (1991). *Cultures and organizations:* software of the mind. McGraw-Hill. London: New York.
- Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's Consequences, Comparing Values, Behaviors, Institutions, and Organizations Across Nations*. Thousand Oaks, CA:
 Sage Publications.
- House, R. J., Hanges, P. J., Javidan, M., Dorfman, P.
 W., & Gupta. V. (2004). Leadership, culture, and organizations: The GLOBE study of 62 societies.
 Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- House, R. J., Hanges, P. J., Ruiz-Quintanilla, S. A., Dorfman, P. W., Falkus, S. A. & Ashkanasy, N. M. (1999). *Cultural influences on leadership and organizations: Project Globe*. In W. H. Mobley, M. J. Gessner and V. Arnold (Ed.), Advances in Global Leadership 2 ed. (pp. 171-233) Bingley, UK: Emerald Group Publishing Ltd.
- House, R. J., Javidan, M., & Dorfman, P. (2001).
 Project GLOBE: An Introduction. Applied
 Psychology: An International Review, 50(4), 489-505.
- House, R. J., Javidan, M., Hanges, P.,& Dorfman, P.
 (2002). Understanding Cultures and Implicit
 Leadership Theories Across the Globe: An
 Introduction to Project GLOBE. *Journal of World Business*, 37(1), 3-10.
- House, R., Wright, N. S., & Aditya, R. N. (1997).
 Cross-cultural research on organizational leadership: A critical analysis and proposed theory.
 In P.C. Early, M. Erez (Eds.). New Perspectives on International Industrial/Organizational Psychology San Francisco, CA: Lexington Press.
- Javidan, M., & House, R. J. (2001). Cultural Acumen for the Global Manager: Lessons from Project GLOBE. *Organizational Dynamics*, 29(4), 289-305.
- Judge, T. A., Thoresen, C. J., Bono, J. E., & Patton, G.
 K. (2001). The Job Satisfaction Job Performance
 Relationship: a Qualitative and Quantitative Review.
 Psychological Bulletin, 127, 376-407.
- Klenke, K. (1996). *Women and Leadership*. A Contextual Perspective. New York: Springer Publishing Company.
- Kotter, J. P. (1996). Leading change. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Kotter, J., & Heskett, J. L. (1992). *Corporate Culture and Performance*. New York: The Free Press.
- Kroeber, A. L., Kluckhohn, C., Untereiner, W., & Meyer, A. G. (1952). *Culture: A critical review of concepts and definitions*. Harvard University Peabody Museum of American Archeology and Ethnology Papers 47.
- Lauzen, M. M., & Dozier, D. M. (1994). Issues management mediation of linkage between environmental complexity and management of the public relations function. *Journal of Public Relations Research*, 6(3), 163-184.
- Locke, E. A. (1976). *The nature and causes of job* satisfaction. In M.D. Dunnette (Ed.), Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology. Chicago: Rand McNally.

- Loden, M. (1985). Feminine leadership or how to succeed in business without being one of the boys. New York: Times Books.
- Lund, D. B. (2003). Organizational culture and job satisfaction. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, 18(3), 219 236.
- Maier, M. (1999). On the gendered substructure of organization: Dimensions and dilemmas of corporate masculinity. In G. A. Powell (Ed.). Handbook of gender and work (pp. 69-94.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Marshall, J. (1993). Organisational Cultures and Women Managers: Exploring the Dynamics of Resilience. *Applied Psychology*, 42(4), 313–322.
- Meyer, J. P., Stanley, D. J., Herscovitch, L., & Topolnytsky, L. (2002). Affective, Continuance, and Normative Commitment to the Organization: A Meta-analysis of Antecedents, Correlates, and Consequences. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 61, 20-52.
- Milin, P., & Hadžić, O. (2011). *Moderating and Mediating Variables in Psychological Research*, in: Lovri, M. (Ed.) International Encyclopedia of Statistical Science (849-852). Berlin: Springer.
- Morgan, W. (1977). Investor Owned vs. Publicly Owned Water Agencies: An Evaluation of the Property Rights Theory of the Firm. *Water Resources Bulletin*, 13, 75-82.
- Moynihan, D. P. & Pandey, S. K. (2007). Finding workable levers over work motivation: Comparing job satisfaction, job involvement, and organizational commitment. *Administration & Society*, *39*(7), 803 832.
- O'Connell, N. L. (2001). Rewarding Employees with Psychic Income Pays Long-Term Dividends, *Benefits Quarterly*, 17(3), 7–22.
- Pasa, S. F., Kabasakal, H., & Bodur, M. (2001). Society, Organisations, and Leadersip in Turkey. *Applied Psychology: An International Review*, 50(4), 559-589.

- Pascale, R., & Athos, A. (1981). *The Art of Japanese Management*. London: Penguin Books.
- Robins, S., & Coulter, M., (2005). Management. Belgrade: Data Status. (In Serbian)
- Schein, E. H. (1985). Organizational Culture and Leadership: A Dynamic View. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers, USA.
- Schein, E. H. (1990). Innovative cultures and adaptive organisations. *Sri Lanka Journal of Development Administration*, 7(2), 9-39.
- Sempane, M. E., Rieger, H. S., & Roodt, G. (2002). Job Satisfaction In Relation To Organisational Culture. SA. *Journal of Industrial Psychology*, 28(2), 23-30.
- Silverthorne, C. (2004). The impact of organizational culture and person-organization fit on organizational commitment and job satisfaction in Taiwan. Leadership & Organization Development Journal, 25, 592-599.
- Smith, P. B. (1992). Organizational Behaviour and National Cultures. *British Journal of Management*, *3*(1), 39–51.
- Spector, P. E. (1985). Measurement of human service staff satisfaction: Development of the job satisfaction survey. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, *13*(6), 693-713.
- Spector, P. E. (1997). *Job satisfaction: Application, assessment, cause, and consequences.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Vukonjanski, J., Nikolić, M., Hadžić, O., Terek, E., & Nedeljković, M. (2012). Relationship between GLOBE organizational culture dimensions, job satisfaction and leader-member exchange in Serbian organizations. Journal for East European Management Studies, 17(3), 333-368.
- Wallack, E. J. (1983). Individuals and Organizations: The Cultural Match. *Training and Development Journal*, *37*, 29-36.
- Ward, C., Bochner, S., & Furnham, A. (2001). *The psychology of culture shock* (2ed.). London: Routledge.